

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/407,605

Applicant(s)
MILLER ET AL.

Examiner
Hope Robinson

Art Unit
1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hope Robinson

(3) _____

(2) Karen Carlson

(4) Leda Trivinos

Date of Interview Jun 14, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Application in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Seed et al. reference.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Ms. Trivinos requested feed back regarding a fax of proposed amendments to the claims as a follow-up to an interview on January 23, 2002. The application was discussed with Primary Examiner Carlson and I informed Ms. Trivinos that Primary Examiner Carlson thinks that the Gene 1999 reference is a 102(b) reference over claims 64, 65, 68 and 69-71 and that there are some 112, second paragraph issues and 103 (a) references. Ms. Trivinos indicated that the Gene reference was already applied under 103(a) and withdrawn. Informed Ms. Trivinos that I would again discuss the application with Primary Examiner Carlson and review the file to see how the reference was applied. Also informed Ms. Trivinos that once her response was received prosecution would most likely be reopened to apply new art found.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Hope Robinson

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required